



# NGMN KPIs and Deployment Scenarios for Consideration for IMT2020

by NGMN Alliance

<b>Version:</b>	<b>1.0</b>
<b>Date:</b>	<b>4th March 2016</b>
<b>Document Type:</b>	<b>Final Deliverable (approved)</b>
<b>Confidentiality Class:</b>	<b>P - Public</b>
<b>Authorised Recipients:</b> (for CR documents only)	

<b>Project:</b>	<b>P1 WS#3 BBTS</b>
<b>Editor / Submitter:</b>	
<b>Contributors:</b>	
<b>Approved by / Date:</b>	<b>NGMN Board, 4th March 2016</b>

***For all Confidential documents (CN, CL, CR):***

This document contains information that is confidential and proprietary to NGMN Ltd. The information may not be used, disclosed or reproduced without the prior written authorisation of NGMN Ltd., and those so authorised may only use this information for the purpose consistent with the authorisation.

***For Public documents (P):***

© 2016 Next Generation Mobile Networks Ltd. All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means without prior written permission from NGMN Ltd.

The information contained in this document represents the current view held by NGMN Ltd. on the issues discussed as of the date of publication. This document is provided "as is" with no warranties whatsoever including any warranty of merchantability, non-infringement, or fitness for any particular purpose. All liability (including liability for infringement of any property rights) relating to the use of information in this document is disclaimed. No license, express or implied, to any intellectual property rights are granted herein. This document is distributed for informational purposes only and is subject to change without notice. Readers should not design products based on this document.

**Commercial Address:**

**ngmn Ltd.,**

Großer Hasenpfad 30 • 60598 Frankfurt • Germany

Phone +49 69/9 07 49 98-04 • Fax +49 69/9 07 49 98-41

**Registered Office:**

**ngmn Ltd.,**

Reading Bridge House • George Street • Reading •  
Berkshire RG1 8LS • UK

Company registered in England and Wales n. 5932387,  
VAT Number: GB 918713901

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



### KPIs and requirements

Table 1: General KPIs and requirements

KPI	Definition	Requirement <sup>1</sup>	Evaluation method	Remarks
Bandwidth	The highest aggregated total system bandwidth. It may be supported by single or multiple RF carriers.	Leave to ITU-R to decide	Inspection	
Bandwidth scalability	The ability of the access technology to operate with different bandwidth allocations.	Not worse than IMT-Advanced	Inspection	This bandwidth may be supported by single or multiple RF carriers. Bandwidth scalability's relevance includes providing higher occupancy for the various spectrum block sizes encountered internationally, including those not multiples of 5/10/20 MHz.
Control plane latency	<u>1. Latency from most battery efficient state (e.g., IDLE) to continuous transfer of large data volume</u>  The time it takes for a mobile device in its most "battery efficient" state (e.g. RRC Idle) to start transmission of a large volume of Mobile Originated application layer data over the radio interface, from the time when data arrives at its radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU ingress point.	[10 ms]	Analytical	Notes: The states for 5G are not yet defined, but this should typically be a state transition time between the idle state and a connected state that supports efficient transfer of large data volumes.
User plane latency	The time it takes to successfully deliver an application layer packet/message from the radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU ingress point to the radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU egress point via the radio interface in both uplink	General: 4 ms  Special case: 0.5 ms (the feasibility of 0.25	Analytical	In the general case the evaluation should include an assessment of the applicable procedural delays when no resource has been already allocated (e.g. request/grant, contention channel access). Furthermore, a fronthauling delay of 250 microseconds should be

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



	and downlink directions, where neither device nor Base Station reception is restricted by DRX.	ms should be studied)  Note: These values are average values for the uplink and downlink , e.g. 6 ms UL and 2 ms DL would meet the general requirement		assumed to allow for a split of processing functions across NG radio access location.  The value should be an average latency value, including the averaged HARQ delay.  However in special cases for providing a minimum latency (e.g. for URLLC use case or, in case 0.25 ms is feasible, enabling use of NG access for fronthaul), it can be assumed that resources are already allocated, and that no additional transport delay is involved. Furthermore extra robustness can be assumed to reduce the HARQ probability, although the link efficiency impacts of that should then also be evaluated. FFS: How to obtain reasonable assumptions about retransmissions, HARQ probability, etc. in analytical evaluations.
Latency for infrequent small packets	For infrequent application layer small packet/message transfer, the time it takes to successfully deliver an application layer packet/message from the radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU ingress point at the mobile device to the radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU egress point in the RAN, when the mobile device starts from its most “battery efficient” state.	X ms for $\leq Y$ bytes application layer packet size  For mMTC extreme coverage and extreme battery life: X = [10000], Y = [TBD]  For other use cases X = [10], Y = [TBD]	Analytical	This requirement shall be evaluated for at least when the device is operating in a scenario where extreme battery life and extreme coverage requirements also need to be simultaneously met.  FFS: Reasonable assumptions about retransmissions, HARQ probability, etc. in analytical evaluations.

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



Mobility interruption time	The shortest time duration supported by the system during which a user terminal cannot exchange user plane packets with any base station during transitions.	0 ms	Analytical	Possibly different requirements for intra-frequency and inter-frequency mobility interruption and for different services. In case multi-connectivity is supported, there could be no mobility interruption time.
Inter-system handover interruption time	The shortest time duration supported by the system during which a user terminal cannot exchange user plane packets with any base station during transitions between 5G new radio and another radio access technology (RAT). Other RATs include at least LTE evolution. Additional other RATs, including non-3GPP RATs, are FFS.	TBD	Analytical	Possibly different requirements for handovers between new 5G RAT and different RATs.
Support for wide range of services	The ability of the access technology to meet the connectivity requirements of a range of existing and future (as yet unknown) services to be operable on a single continuous block of spectrum in an efficient manner.	Yes	Inspection	The components to inspect are for FFS.
Duplexing flexibility	The ability of the access technology to adapt its allocation of resources flexibly for uplink and downlink for both paired and unpaired frequency bands.	Yes	Inspection	Applicable for frequency bands in at least existing and future IMT-bands. This flexibility may be used for a wide range of requirements such as uplink/downlink traffic patterns, latency, load, etc.
Network energy efficiency	The capability of a radio access network (RAN) to minimize the RAN energy consumption while providing a much better area traffic capacity.	FFS	Inspection and system-level simulation (FTP traffic)	Note: Definition of better area traffic capacity is to be captured as part of the evaluation.  3GPP is encouraged to study models to help clarify how energy efficiency can be evaluated. Evaluation shall allow to benchmark technology proposals on their energy efficiency potential.  Inspection: Introduce design principles taking into

**Commercial Address:**

ngmn Ltd.,  
Großer Hasenpfad 30 • 60598 Frankfurt • Germany

Phone +49 69/9 07 49 98-0 • Fax +49 69/9 07 49 98-41

**Registered Office:**

ngmn Ltd.,  
Reading Bridge House • George Street • Reading •  
Berkshire RG1 8LS • UK

Company registered in England and Wales n. 5932387,  
VAT Number: GB 918713901

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



			<p>account energy consumption, e.g., (if no/limited transmission when there is no data, etc.)</p> <p>System-level simulation: This KPI should be evaluated in terms of Mbit/s/W at the global RAN level. Specifically, this KPI has to be defined for different load levels and for different deployment scenarios.</p> <p>3GPP is encouraged to evaluate energy efficiency not for peak hour but based on a 24 hour daily traffic profile. The related evaluation methodology needs to be developed within 3GPP relevant studies [5G Technology Study] (ex. ETSI 203 228). For example, base station load levels could be recorded during simulations to be translated into energy consumption by means of a base station power model (e.g., Greentouch) assuming the following energy efficiency (EE) formulation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <math>a_i</math>=weight for load level I</li> <li>• <math>b_k</math>=weight for deployment scenario K</li> <li>• <math>V_i</math>=aggregated throughput served in the simulated area for load level I (in Mbps), or equivalently served traffic volume divided by the simulation period</li> <li>• <math>EC_i</math>=sum of the average power consumption of all RAN nodes in the simulated area during load level I (in Watt)</li> </ul>
--	--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**Commercial Address:**

ngmn Ltd.,  
Großer Hasenpfad 30 • 60598 Frankfurt • Germany

Phone +49 69/9 07 49 98-0 • Fax +49 69/9 07 49 98-41

**Registered Office:**

ngmn Ltd.,  
Reading Bridge House • George Street • Reading •  
Berkshire RG1 8LS • UK

Company registered in England and Wales n. 5932387,  
VAT Number: GB 918713901

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



				$EE_{scenario} = \sum_{load\ level\ l} a_l \frac{V_l}{EC_l}$ $EE_{global} = \sum_{scenario\ K} b_K EE_{scenario}$ <p>Other methodology could also be studied. Proposals for the 24 hour daily traffic profiles could be provided by operators. At least, energy efficiency should be evaluated in coverage limited environments (e.g., rural) and capacity limited environments (e.g., urban). Every effort should be made to obtain the energy gain without degrading the performance, but the technology should allow native flexibility for the operator to configure trade-off between energy efficiency versus performance where justified.</p>
Peak data rate	The highest theoretical data rate which is the received data bits assuming error-free conditions assignable to a single mobile station, when all available radio resources for the corresponding link direction are utilised (i.e., excluding radio resources that are used for physical layer synchronisation, reference signals or pilots, guard bands and guard times).	N/A	Analytical	NGMN will not define requirements for peak data rate and peak spectral efficiency. Consistent user experience is of a higher priority for NGMN than peak data rate.
Peak spectral efficiency	The peak data rate normalized by bandwidth.	N/A	Analytical	See remark for peak data rate (above).

Note: The different content from the previous LS to RAN AH is marked in red.

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



Table 2: Deployment-scenario specific KPIs and requirements

KPI	Definition	Requirement	Evaluation method	Remarks
Transmission/ Reception Point (TRP) average spectrum efficiency	The aggregate throughput of all users (the number of correctly received bits, i.e. the number of bits contained in the service data units (SDUs) delivered to Layer 3, over a certain period of time) divided by the channel bandwidth divided by the number of TRPs. The channel bandwidth for this purpose is defined as the effective bandwidth times the frequency reuse factor, where the effective bandwidth is the operating bandwidth normalised appropriately considering the uplink/downlink ratio. The TRP spectral efficiency is measured in bit/s/Hz/TRP. A 3 sector site consists of 3 TRPs.	TBD	System-level simulation (full-buffer)	Assessment for multi-layer and multi-band is FFS. How to evaluate outdoor and indoor users independently needs to be considered.  NGMN white paper targets significant improvements in spectrum efficiency.

**Commercial Address:**

ngmn Ltd.,  
Großer Hasenpfad 30 • 60598 Frankfurt • Germany

Phone +49 69/9 07 49 98-0 • Fax +49 69/9 07 49 98-41

**Registered Office:**

ngmn Ltd.,  
Reading Bridge House • George Street • Reading •  
Berkshire RG1 8LS • UK

Company registered in England and Wales n. 5932387,  
VAT Number: GB 918713901

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



User experienced data rate	The 5%-percentile of the user throughput. User throughput (during active time) of an individual burst is defined as the size of a burst divided by the time between the arrival of the first packet of a burst and the reception of the last packet of the burst.	<p><b>Indoor</b> DL: [1 Gbit/s] UL: [500 Mbit/s]</p> <p><b>Dense urban</b> DL: [300 Mbit/s] UL: [50 Mbit/s]</p> <p><b>Urban</b> DL: [50 Mbit/s] UL: [25 Mbit/s]</p> <p><b>Rural</b> DL: [50 Mbit/s] UL: [25 Mbit/s]</p> <p><b>High speed</b> DL: [50 Mbit/s] UL: [25 Mbit/s]</p>	System-level simulation (FTP traffic)	<p>This KPI needs to be achieved at the target area traffic capacity for each relevant deployment scenario.</p> <p>The definition of a burst depends on the traffic model and is FFS.</p> <p><b>The requirements derived from the NGMN white paper may be revised after a careful review of assumptions on connection density and available bandwidth.</b></p>
5 <sup>th</sup> percentile user spectrum efficiency	The cell edge user spectral efficiency is defined as 5% point of the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the normalized user throughput. The (normalized) user throughput is defined as the average user throughput (the number of correctly received bits by users, i.e., the number of bits contained in the SDU delivered to Layer 3, over a certain period of time, divided by the channel bandwidth and is measured in bit/s/Hz. The channel bandwidth for this purpose is defined as the effective bandwidth times the frequency reuse factor, where the effective bandwidth is the operating bandwidth	TBD	System-level simulation (full buffer)	<p>This KPI was previously called user experienced spectrum efficiency in (reference LS to RAN #70).</p> <p>Assessment for multi-layer and multi-band is FFS.</p> <p>How to evaluate outdoor and indoor users independently needs to be considered.</p> <p><b>NGMN white paper targets significant improvements in spectrum efficiency.</b></p>



# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



	normalised appropriately considering the uplink/downlink ratio.			
Connection density	Total number of devices fulfilling specific QoS per unit area (per km <sup>2</sup> ).	1e6 devices/km <sup>2</sup> for mMTC for urban environment	Option 1: Analytical Option 2: Simulation	Foreseen as most relevant for mMTC. QoS definition should take into account the amount of data generated within a time $t_{gen}$ that can be sent or received within a given time, $t_{sendrx}$ , with x% probability.  Note 1: Evaluation environment is FFS Note 2: Report bandwidth used in evaluation.
Area traffic capacity	Full buffer: Total traffic throughput served per geographic area (in Mbit/s/m <sup>2</sup> ). The computation of this metric is based on full buffer traffic.		Analytical	
	Non full buffer: Total traffic throughput served per geographic area (in Mbit/s/m <sup>2</sup> ). Both the user experienced data rate and the area traffic capacity need to be evaluated at the same time using the same traffic model.		System level simulations (FTP traffic)	This KPI is used to derive the burst arrival rate for the FTP simulations.
Mobility	Maximum user speed at which a defined QoS can be achieved (in km/h).	500 km/h	Simulation (link and system level)	Mobility classes and QoS will be defined for each scenario.
Reliability	The success probability of transmitting a layer 2/3 packet of [x bytes] within a maximum time of [t ms], which is the time it takes to deliver a small data packet from the radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU ingress point to the radio protocol layer 2/3 SDU egress point of the radio interface at a certain channel quality.	TBD	Link-level simulation	Foreseen as most relevant for URLLC. If channel access is not granted, the reliability and delay of that should be taken into account. The channel quality target and its variation characteristics need to be defined, including the relevant channel model. Mobility aspects can be treated as part of the channel quality to be targeted.

# Next Generation Mobile Networks

## NGMN P1 WS#3 Key Performance Indicators to 3GPP TSG RAN



Device battery life	The battery life of the device without recharge. For at least mMTC, device battery life in extreme coverage shall be based on the activity of mobile originated data transfer consisting of [200 bytes] UL per day followed by [20 bytes] DL from maximum coupling loss of [164 dB], assuming a stored energy capacity of [5Wh].	[15 years]	Link-level simulation (Reuse TR 45.820)	Battery life KPI definition of other terminal types than mMTC is FFS. <b>The battery life extension should not be achieved by a relaxation of any of the key parameters - and their corresponding values - indicated in the definition. NGMN white paper targets device battery life of up to 15 years. 3GPP should investigate the feasible operating boundaries (data activity, coupling loss, etc.) under which such a device battery life target could be reached.</b>
Extreme Coverage	“Maximum coupling loss” in uplink and downlink between device and Base Station site (antenna connector(s)) for a data rate of [160bps], where the data rate is observed at the egress/ingress point of the radio protocol stack in uplink and downlink.	[164 dB]	Link-level simulation (Reuse TR 45.820)	Foreseen as most relevant for mMTC.
UE energy efficiency	The capability of a UE to reduce UE modem energy consumption while sustaining better performance (e.g., mobile broadband data rate).	<b>Significant enhancement compared to LTE</b>	Inspection	Foreseen as most relevant for eMBB.

**Commercial Address:**

**ngmn Ltd.,**  
Großer Hasenpfad 30 • 60598 Frankfurt • Germany

Phone +49 69/9 07 49 98-0 • Fax +49 69/9 07 49 98-41

**Registered Office:**

**ngmn Ltd.,**  
Reading Bridge House • George Street • Reading •  
Berkshire RG1 8LS • UK

Company registered in England and Wales n. 5932387,  
VAT Number: GB 918713901